arXiv:math/0211437v3 [math.RT] 15 Sep 2003

PERIODIC MODULES AND QUANTUM GROUPS

Michela Varagnolo

Abstract. We prove that the elements $A_{\leq}$ defined by Lusztig in a completion of the periodic module actually live in the periodic module (in the type A case). In order to prove this, we compare, using the Schur duality, these elements with Kashiwara canonical basis of an integrable module.

0. Introduction

Fix two positive integers d and p. Let $V' \supset R \supset R^+ \supset I = \{\alpha_1, ...\alpha_{d-1}\}$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of dimension $d-1$, a root system of type A_{d-1}, a system of positive roots and the corresponding set of simple roots. Fix a partition $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, ...c_\ell)$ of d and set $I_{\mathbf{c}} = \{\alpha_i \,|\, i \neq c_1 + \cdots c_a, \forall a\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all reflection hyperplanes $F_{\alpha^\vee,n} = \{v \in V' \,|\, (\alpha^\vee : v) = np\}$, where $\alpha \in R^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{c}} = \{F_{\alpha^\vee,n} \,|\, \alpha \in R^+ \cap I_{\mathbf{c}}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$. We call alcoves the connected components of $V' \setminus \cup_{F\in\mathcal{F}} F$. Let A'_+ be the only alcove contained in the dominant Weyl chamber having 0 in its closure. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the set of alcoves contained in the connected component of $V' \setminus \cup_{F\in\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{c}}} F$ which contains A'_+. Finally, let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$-span of the alcoves in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$. It is a $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-module, where $\mathbf{H}'$ is the affine Hecke algebra associated to R, and $\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra over ℓ variables. In [L5, 9.17(a)] Lusztig defines, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$, some element $A_{\leq}$ in a completion of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and he conjectures in [L5, 12.7] that $A_{\leq} \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ (the conjecture is stated for all types). If $\mathbf{c} = (1^d)$, the conjecture follows from results in [L1]. In this paper we prove it for all $\mathbf{c}$ in Theorem 5.5.

In [L5, 17.3] two conjectural multiplicity formulas for modules of a simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p are given. They are formulated via some element $A_{\geq}$ and it involves polynomials π_{AB}, the coefficients of the expansion of $A_{\geq}$ in the basis of the alcoves, [L5, 9.17(c),(d)]. Using Theorem 5.5 and [L5, Proposition 12.9] we get that for all A, there exist only finitely many non-zero polynomials π_{AB}.

The main tools of the proof are the Schur duality (in its quantum affine version) and a theorem of Kashiwara yielding a canonical basis for some integrable module $\mathbf{N}$ of the quantum affine loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$ (here $p > d$). More precisely we write down a $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-isomorphism which sends a $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-cyclic submodule of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ into a weight space of $\mathbf{N}$ and takes $\{A_{\leq}\}$ into Kashiwara's canonical basis.

This work is motivated by [VV3]. In *loc.cit.* the authors give a geometric construction, via quiver varieties, of the (signed) canonical basis of the module $\mathbf{N}(\lambda_{\mathbf{c}})$. This construction is very similar to Lusztig's conjectural construction of

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, Secondary 17B10.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

the (signed) canonical basis in the K-theory of the Springer fiber (see [L4, L5], where it is also explained the link between this K-theory object and the periodic module). It is known that, for type A, the Springer fibers are quiver varieties. Probably it is possible to check that both signed bases coincide via their geometric characterization. In this paper we give an elementary algebraic proof.

Aknowledgements. The idea to compare the elements $A_{\leq}$ with the Kashiwara's canonical basis is due to E. Vasserot. I thank him to share it with me. This work started during my stay at the M.S.R.I. in March 2002. I thank the organizers of the program "Infinite-dimensional algebras and Mathematical Physics" for inviting me.

1. Notations

1.1. Let $\mathrm{T}\subset\mathrm{GL}_d$ be a maximal torus. Let $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{T})$ be the caracter group of T, and let $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{T})$ be its representation ring. The dual group of one parameter subgroups of T is denoted by $\mathrm{X}^\vee$. Set $(\,:\,)$ equal to the canonical pairing $\mathrm{X}\times\mathrm{X}^\vee\to\mathbb{Z}$. Set $R\subset\mathrm{X}$ equal to the set of root of $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ with respect to T. Choose a positive system $R^+\subset R$. Let $I\subset R^+$ be the set of simple roots and let $Q\subset\mathrm{X}$ be the root lattice. We fix basis elements $\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_d\in\mathrm{X}$ such that $I=\{\alpha_i=\varepsilon_i-\varepsilon_{i+1}\,|\,i=1,2,...,d-1\}$. For $i=1,...,d$ set $\omega_i=\varepsilon_1+\cdots+\varepsilon_i\in\mathrm{X}$ with $i=1,...,d$. Let $\{\omega_i^\vee\},\{\varepsilon_i^\vee\}\subset\mathrm{X}^\vee$ be the dual bases. Put $\theta^\vee=\alpha_1^\vee+\cdots+\alpha_{d-1}^\vee$, and $\alpha_i^\vee=\varepsilon_i^\vee-\varepsilon_{i+1}^\vee$ with $i=1,2,...,d-1$. Let $\leq$ be the partial order on X such that $\mu\leq\nu$ if and only if $\nu-\mu\in\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\mathbb{N}\,\alpha_i$.

1.2. Let W^f be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{gl}_d$. Let $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}^f\ltimes\mathrm{X}$, $\mathrm{W}'=\mathrm{W}^f\ltimes Q$, be the extended affine Weyl group and the affine Weyl group. For any $w\in\mathrm{W}^f$, $\lambda\in\mathrm{X}$ we use the following notations : $w=(w,0)$, $\tau_\lambda=(0,\lambda)$.

A composition of d is a ℓ-uple of non-negative integers $\mathbf{f}=(f_1,f_2,...f_\ell)$ such that $\sum_i f_i=d$, for some ℓ. It is a partition if and only if $f_1\geq f_2\geq\cdots\geq f_\ell\geq 0$. For any composition $\mathbf{f}$ of d, set $I_{\mathbf{f}}=\{\alpha_i\in I\,|\,i\neq f_1+f_2+\cdots+f_a,\ \forall a\}$. Let $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup of W^f, i.e. $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}=\langle s_i\,|\,\alpha_i\in I_{\mathbf{f}}\rangle$. Put $\mathrm{W}'_{\mathbf{f}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}\ltimes\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{f}}$. Let $\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{f}}$(resp. ${}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathrm{W}$)$\subset\mathrm{W}^f$ be the set of all elements w having a minimal length in the coset $w\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}w$). Let w_d, $w_{\mathbf{f}}$ be the longest elements of W^f, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}$. Set $\nu_d=\ell(w_d),\nu_{\mathbf{f}}=\ell(w_{\mathbf{f}})$.

Let $s_1,s_2,...,s_d$ be the simple affine reflections in W' such that $s_i=s_{\alpha_i^\vee}$ if $i\neq d$ and $s_d=\tau_\theta\,s_{\theta^\vee}$, where for any root $\alpha\in R$, $s_{\alpha^\vee}$ is the corresponding reflection. Let π denote the element $\tau_{\omega_1}s_1s_2\cdots s_{d-1}$. The cyclic group of infinite order $\langle\pi\rangle$ is isomorphic to X/Q and W is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $\langle\pi\rangle\ltimes\mathrm{W}'$.

1.3. Fix a positive number, say p. Set $V=\mathrm{X}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{R}$,

$$A_+=\{\gamma\in V\,|\,p>(\gamma:\alpha^\vee)>0,\ \forall\alpha\in R^+\},$$

$$\mathrm{X}_p=\{\gamma\in\mathrm{X}\,|\,p\geq(\gamma:\varepsilon_i^\vee)>0,\ \forall i=1,...d\}.$$

The group W acts on X as follows

$$\begin{array}{ll}\gamma\cdot s_i=\gamma-(\gamma:\alpha_i^\vee)\,\alpha_i & \text{if } i=1,2,...,d-1,\\ \gamma\cdot\tau_\nu=\gamma-p\nu & \text{if } \nu\in\mathrm{X}.\end{array}$$

Note that

- the set $\bar{A}_+\cap\mathrm{X}_p$ is a fundamental domain for the right action of W on X ($\bar{A}_+$ is the closure of A_+ in V),

- for all $\mu\in\bar{A}_+\cap\mathrm{X}_p$, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{e}}$ is the isotropy group of μ, if $\mathbf{e}$ is associated to μ as in (1.4.1).

1.4. Conventions.

(1) In the future we will use both weights of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_d$. The fundamental weights and the simple roots of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$ are denoted by Λ_i, $i=1,...,p$ and $\beta_i=\epsilon_i-\epsilon_{i+1}$, $i=1,...,p-1$, respectively. The affine simple roots of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$ are $\beta_1,...,\beta_p$. Set

$$\delta=\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_p,\qquad \tilde{\mathrm{X}}=\textstyle\sum_{a=1}^p\mathbb{Z}\epsilon_a,\qquad \tilde{\mathrm{X}}^a=\mathbb{Z}\delta\oplus\tilde{\mathrm{X}}.$$

(2) From now on, $\tilde{\lambda}=\sum_{a=1}^p d_a\epsilon_a$ will be a fixed (dominant) weight with $d_1\geq d_2\geq\cdots\geq d_p\geq 0$, $\sum_{a=1}^p d_i=d$. Set $\mathbf{d}=(d_p,...,d_1)$ and let $\mathbf{c}=(c_1,c_2,...,c_\ell)$ be the partition dual to $\mathbf{d}$, that is $c_i=|\{j\,|\,d_j\geq i\}|$. Then $\mathbf{c}=(p^{\ell_p}...2^{\ell_2}1^{\ell_1})$, for some positive integers $\ell_1,...,\ell_p$ such that $\ell=\sum_{a=1}^p\ell_a$. We have

$$\tilde{\lambda}=\textstyle\sum_{a=1}^p\ell_a\Lambda_a=\sum_{a=1}^\ell\Lambda_{c_a}.$$

(3) Given $\mu=\sum_{i=1}^d b_i\varepsilon_i\in\mathrm{X}_p$, we set

$$\tilde{\mu}=\textstyle\sum_{a=1}^p e_a\epsilon_a\in\tilde{\mathrm{X}}\ \text{ with }\ e_a=|\{i\,|\,b_i=a\}|,\qquad \mathbf{e}=(e_p,...,e_2,e_1).\tag{1.4.1}$$

Set

$$\Omega=\{\textstyle\sum_{a=1}^p e_a\epsilon_a\in\tilde{\mathrm{X}}\,|\,e_a\geq 0,d=\sum_a e_a\},\Omega_{\mathrm{sm}}=\{\sum_{a=1}^p e_a\epsilon_a\in\Omega\,|\,\mathbf{e}\text{ is small}\},$$

where $\mathbf{e}$ is as in (1.4.1). The composition $\mathbf{e}$ is said small if $e_a=0,1$ for all a. The map $\mu\mapsto\tilde{\mu}$ restricts to a bijection $\bar{A}_+\cap\mathrm{X}_p\rightarrow\Omega$ which takes $A_+\cap\mathrm{X}_p$ onto Ω_{sm}. From now on if $\tilde{\mu}\in\Omega$ (resp. Ω_{sm}), then μ denotes the unique preimage in $\bar{A}_+\cap\mathrm{X}_p$ (resp. $A_+\cap\mathrm{X}_p$).

2. q-NOTATIONS

2.1. Put $\mathbb{A}=\mathbb{Q}[q,q^{-1}],\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}(q),\mathbb{A}_0=\{f\in\mathbb{K}\,|\,f$ is without pole at $q=0\}$. Set

$$\mathbf{R}=\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{X}]\otimes\mathbb{A},\quad \mathbf{R_c}=\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{X}/\mathbb{Z}I_\mathbf{c}]\otimes\mathbb{A},\quad \mathbf{R}'=\mathbb{Z}[Q]\otimes\mathbb{A},\quad \mathbf{R}'_\mathbf{c}=\mathbb{Z}[Q/\mathbb{Z}I_\mathbf{c}]\otimes\mathbb{A}.$$

We identify $\mathbf{R}$ with the polynomial ring $\mathbb{A}[x_1^{\pm1},x_2^{\pm1},...,x_d^{\pm1}]$, via the $\mathbb{A}$-algebra isomorphism which takes $\varepsilon_i\otimes 1$ to x_i. For all $\gamma=\sum_i m_i\varepsilon_i\in\mathrm{X}$, put $x_\gamma=\prod_i x_i^{m_i}$. Then $\mathbf{R_c}$ is identified with the quotient of $\mathbf{R}$ by the ideal generated by the relations $x_{\alpha_i}=1$, for all $\alpha_i\in I_\mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{R}'$ with the sub-$\mathbb{A}$-algebra of $\mathbf{R}$ generated by $\{x_{\alpha_i}^{\pm1}\,|\,\alpha_i\in I\}$. We denote by $x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_\mathbf{c}}$ the image of x_γ in $\mathbf{R_c}$. Set $\alpha(c_i)=\sum_{k=1}^{c_i}(c_i+1-2k)\varepsilon_{c_1+\cdots+c_{i-1}+k}$, and $\alpha_\mathbf{c}=\sum_{i=1}^\ell\alpha(c_i)\in Q$. Define an $\mathbb{A}$-algebra homomorphism $\psi:\mathbf{R}\rightarrow\mathbf{R_c}$ by the formula $\psi(x_\gamma)=q^{(\gamma:\alpha_\mathbf{c})}x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_\mathbf{c}}$. The map ψ restricts to $\psi:\mathbf{R}'\rightarrow\mathbf{R}'_\mathbf{c}$, cf. [L5, 8.3]. We have

$$\psi(x_{\alpha_i})=q^2\qquad\text{for all }\alpha_i\in I_\mathbf{c}.\tag{2.1.1}$$

2.2. The quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbf{U}_\mathbb{K}$ generated by elements $e_a,f_a,l_a^{\pm1}$, $a=1,2,...,p$, modulo the following defining relations

$$l_al_a^{-1}=1=l_a^{-1}l_a,\quad l_al_b=l_bl_a,$$

$$l_be_al_b^{-1}=q^{\delta(a=b)-\delta(a+1\equiv b)}e_a,\quad l_bf_al_b^{-1}=q^{\delta(a+1\equiv b)-\delta(a=b)}f_a,$$

$$[e_a, f_b] = \delta(a,b)\frac{k_a - k_a^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$$\sum_{p=0}^{m}(-1)^p \begin{bmatrix} m \\ p \end{bmatrix} e_a^p e_b e_a^{m-p} = \sum_{p=0}^{m}(-1)^p \begin{bmatrix} m \\ p \end{bmatrix} f_a^p f_b f_a^{m-p} = 0.$$

In the last identity $a \neq b$, $m = 2$ if $a - b = \pm 1$ and 1 else. We have set $[n] = q^{1-n} + q^{3-n} + ... + q^{n-1}$ if $n \geq 0$, $[n]! = [n][n-1]...[2]$, and

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[m]!}{[p]![m-p]!}.$$

We have also set $k_a = l_a l_{a+1}^{-1}$, for all $a = 1, 2, ..., p-1$ and $k_p = l_p l_1^{-1}$. Finally $a \equiv b$ means $a - b \in p\mathbb{Z}$. Let Δ be the coproduct of $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$, defined as follows

$$\Delta(e_a) = 1 \otimes e_a + e_a \otimes k_a^{-1}, \quad \Delta(f_a) = k_a \otimes f_a + f_a \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(l_a) = l_a \otimes l_a.$$

The algebra $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$ has also a presentation in terms of Drinfeld generators $x_{ir}^{\pm}, h_{is}^{\pm}, l_a^{\pm 1}$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, i = 1, 2, ..., p-1, a = 1, 2, ..., p$. We normalize these generators as in [B] (with $T_i = T''_{i,1}$ in the notations of [L2]). The presentation depends on a choice of a function $o : \{1, ...p-1\} \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that $o(i \pm 1) = -o(i)$.

Let $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the modified algebra of $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$. It is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra without unity generated by $\pi_{\mathbf{i}} e_a, \pi_{\mathbf{i}} f_a, \pi_{\mathbf{i}}$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^p, a = 1, 2, ...p$, with $\pi_{\mathbf{i}}\pi_{\mathbf{j}} = \delta_{\mathbf{ij}}\pi_{\mathbf{i}}$, see [L2, 23.1] for a precise definition. Let $\mathbf{U}$, $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-forms of $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{K}}$. Then $\mathbf{U}$ is the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$ generated by $e_a^{(n)}, f_a^{(n)}, l_a^{\pm 1}$, and $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ is the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebra of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbb{K}}$ generated by $\pi_{\mathbf{i}} e_a^{(n)}, \pi_{\mathbf{i}} f_a^{(n)}$.

Let $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ be the ring homomorphism of $\mathbf{U}$ such that $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$, $\bar{e}_a = e_a$, $\bar{f}_a = f_a$, $\bar{l}_a = l_a^{-1}$. We will still denote by $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ the involution on $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ which is also characterized by $\overline{\pi_{\mathbf{i}}} = \pi_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Remark. Kashiwara's canonical bases are defined for the quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_p$. In this paper we will use the $\mathfrak{gl}_p$-version. It is irrelevant since an integrable $\mathbf{U}$-module is a module for the quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_p$ together with an extra grading coming from the action of the l_a's.

2.3. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the affine Hecke algebra of GL_d. Recall that $\mathbf{H}$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{A}$-algebra generated by elements $t_1, ...t_{d-1}$, $x_1^{\pm 1}, ...x_d^{\pm 1}$, modulo the relations

$$\begin{array}{ll} (t_i + q^{-1})(t_i - q) = 0, & \\ t_i t_{i+1} t_i = t_{i+1} t_i t_{i+1}, & |i - j| \geq 2 \Rightarrow t_i t_j = t_j t_i, \\ x_i x_i^{-1} = 1 = x_i^{-1} x_i, & x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \\ t_i x_i t_i = x_{i+1}, & j \neq i, i+1 \Rightarrow t_i x_j = x_j t_i. \end{array}$$

For any $w \in \mathrm{W}$ let t_w be the corresponding element in $\mathbf{H}$. To simplify we set $\pi = t_\pi\, (= x_1 t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{d-1})$. Set also $t_d = \pi t_{d-1} \pi^{-1}$. Let $\mathbf{H}', \mathbf{H}^f \subset \mathbf{H}$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-subalgebras generated by $t_1, ...t_d$ and $t_1, ...t_{d-1}$ respectively. We will identify, in the obvious way, $\mathbf{R}$ with the sub-$\mathbb{A}$-algebra of $\mathbf{H}$ generated by the $x_i^{\pm 1}$'s. Note that $\mathbf{H}'$ is the sub-$\mathbb{A}$-algebra of $\mathbf{H}$ generated by $t_1, ...t_{d-1}$ and by $\mathbf{R}'$. For future references, we point out that x_α is equal to the element $\theta_{-\alpha}$ in Lusztig's papers.

Let $t \mapsto \bar{t}$ be the ring homomorphism of $\mathbf{H}$ such that $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$, $\bar{t}_i = t_i^{-1}$, $\bar{\pi} = \pi$, $i = 1, ..., d$.

2.4. For any composition $\mathbf{f}$ of d, let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}} \subseteq \mathbf{H}^f$ be the subalgebra generated by the elements t_i with $\alpha_i \in I_{\mathbf{f}}$. Similarly, let $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{f}} \subseteq \mathbf{H}'$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}}$ and the elements $x_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1}$ with $i \in I_{\mathbf{f}}$. Set also

$$\rho_{\mathbf{f}} = \sum_{w \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}} q^{\ell(w)} t_w, \qquad m_{\mathbf{f}} = \sum_{w \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}} q^{2\ell(w)}.$$

We get $\rho_{\mathbf{f}}^2 = m_{\mathbf{f}} \rho_{\mathbf{f}}$ and $\overline{\rho_{\mathbf{f}}} = q^{-2\nu_{\mathbf{f}}} \rho_{\mathbf{f}}$.

2.5. Set $\mathbb{A}^-$ equal to the unique left representation of $\mathbf{H}'$ on $\mathbb{A}$ taking the elements t_i to $-q^{-1}\mathrm{Id}$, for all $i = 1, ...d$. Note that, in particular,

(2.5.1). $$x_{\alpha_i} \cdot 1 = q^2 \cdot 1 \qquad \text{for all } \alpha_i \in I$$

2.6. For any pair of compositions $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'$ of d with at most p parts, let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-linear span of the elements $t_m = \sum_{w \in m} q^{\ell(w)} t_w$, where $m \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}'}$. Let $\mathbf{S}_d = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$ the q-affine Schur algebra. The product in $\mathbf{S}_d$ is defined by setting $t_m \star t_n = \delta(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{g}) m_{\mathbf{f}'}^{-1} t_m t_n$ for all $m \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}} \backslash \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}'}$, $n \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{g}} \backslash \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{g}'}$. Consider the algebra homomorphism $\chi_d : \dot{\mathbf{U}} \to \mathbf{S}_d$, defined in [SV, Proposition 2.4]. In particular it takes $\pi_{\mathbf{i}}$ to $\rho_{\mathbf{i}^\sharp}$, if $\mathbf{i}^\sharp$ is a composition of d, and $\pi_{\mathbf{i}} \mapsto 0$ otherwise. Here $\mathbf{i}^\sharp = (i_1, i_2, ...)$ and $i_r = |\mathbf{i}^{-1}(r)|$. Let $\chi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} : \dot{\mathbf{U}} \to \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$ be the composition of χ_d with the canonical projection $\mathbf{S}_d \to \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$. The $\mathbb{A}$-module $\mathbf{S}_d$ has a basis, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_{\mathfrak{s}} \,|\, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{A}_d\}$, where $\mathcal{A}_d$ is the following subset of the set of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{A}_d = \{\mathfrak{s} = (s_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \,|\, s_{i+p,j+p} = s_{ij}, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} s_{ij} = d\},$$

see [SV, 3.2]. Then [L6, Theorem 8.2] states that $\mathcal{B}^{ap} = \{b_{\mathfrak{s}} \,|\, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{A}_d^{ap}\}$ is a $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathrm{Im}\,(\chi_d)$, with

$$\mathcal{A}_d^{ap} = \{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{A}_d \,|\, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \exists i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } s_{i,i+j} = 0\}.$$

For a future use let us mention the following.

Claim. *If* $p > d$ *and* $\mathbf{f}$ *is small, the map* $\chi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$ *is surjective for all* $\mathbf{f}'$. *If* $p = d$ *and* $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'$ *are small, then* $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} = (\mathcal{B}^{ap} \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}) \cup \{\rho_{\mathbf{f}} \pi^r \,|\, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proof. Set $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_p), \mathbf{f}' = (f'_1, ..., f'_p)$. Then

$$b_{\mathfrak{s}} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} = \{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{A}_d \,|\, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} s_{ij} = f_i, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} s_{ij} = f'_j, \forall i,j \in \{1, 2, ...p\}\}.$$

Suppose that $p \geq d$. If $\mathbf{f}$ is small, a direct computation gives

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} \cap \mathcal{A}_d^{ap} \neq \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{f}' \text{ is small and } p = d.$$

This proves the first part of the claim. If $p = d$ and $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'$ are both small, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} \setminus (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'} \cap \mathcal{A}_d^{ap}) = \{\mathfrak{s}(r) \,|\, r \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\},$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(r) = (s_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $s_{ij} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow j - i = r$. Moreover $b_{\mathfrak{s}(r)} = \rho_{\mathbf{f}} \pi^r$, see [SV, 3.2]. □

3. KASHIWARA MODULES

3.1. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be any integrable $\mathbf{U}$-module and let z be a formal variable. Set $\mathbf{U}[z^{\pm 1}] = \mathbf{U} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{A}[z^{\pm 1}]$. We denote by $\mathbf{V}\{z\}$ be the representation of $\mathbf{U}$ on the space $\mathbf{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{A}[z^{\pm 1}]$ such that $x^{\pm}_{ir}$ acts as $x^{\pm}_{ir} \otimes z^r$ and $k^{\pm}_{ir}$ as $k^{\pm}_{ir} \otimes z^r$ (in particular e_a acts as $e_a \otimes z^{\delta_{ap}}$ and f_a as $f_b \otimes z^{-\delta_{ap}}$). Define

$$\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}\{z_1, z_2 ... z_d\} = \mathbf{V}\{z_1\} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{V}\{z_2\} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbf{V}\{z_d\}.$$

The element $\Lambda \in \tilde{\mathrm{X}}$ is a weight of $\mathbf{V}$ if the Λ-weight subspace

$$\mathbf{V}_\Lambda = \{v \in \mathbf{V} \,|\, l_a \cdot v = q^{(\Lambda : \epsilon_a)} v, \, \forall a = 1, ..., p\}$$

is non-zero. If $v \in \mathbf{V}_\Lambda$ we set $\mathrm{wt}(v) = \Lambda$.

3.2. Let $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_a)$, $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_a)$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-form of the fundamental $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$-module and of Kashiwara's maximal integrable $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$-module, respectively, with highest weight Λ_a, see [K1], [K2]. Fix highest weight vectors $w_{\Lambda_a} \in \mathbf{W}(\Lambda_a)$, $v_{\Lambda_a} \in \mathbf{V}(\Lambda_a)$. By [K2, Theorem 5.15(viii)] there exists a $\mathbf{U}$-automorphism z of $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_a)$ and an isomorphism of $\mathbf{U}[z^{\pm 1}]$-modules

$$\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_a) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{W}(\Lambda_a)[z] \tag{3.2.1}$$

such that $v_{\Lambda_a} \mapsto w_{\Lambda_a} \otimes 1$. In particular we have the $\mathbf{U}[z_1^{\pm 1}, ..., z_d^{\pm 1}]$-isomorphism

$$\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d} \simeq \mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d}\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_d\}.$$

Both modules $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_a), \mathbf{W}(\Lambda_a)$ have canonical bases $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_a), \mathbf{B}^f(\Lambda_a)$, see [K1, Proposition 8.2.2] and [K2, Theorem 5.15(ii)]. There is a unique $\mathbf{U}$-semilinear (with respect to $x \mapsto \overline{x}$) and $\mathbb{Q}[z^{\pm 1}]$-linear involution on $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_a)$ which fixes v_{Λ_a}. The elements in $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_a)$ are fixed by this involution. Via (3.2.1), we have

$$\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_a) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{B}^f(\Lambda_a) \otimes z^n. \tag{3.2.2}$$

Let $L(\Lambda_a)$ be the $\mathbb{A}_0$-lattice spanned by $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_a)$.

3.3. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be the vectorial representation of $\mathbf{U}$, i.e. $\mathbf{V}$ is the $\mathbf{U}[z^{\pm 1}]$-module with $\mathbb{A}$-basis $\{u_m \,|\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $u_{m-p\ell} = u_m \cdot z^\ell$ for all $m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such that $\mathbf{U}$ acts as follows $(a = 1, 2, ..., p)$

$$e_a(u_m) = \delta(m \equiv a+1) u_{m-1}, \quad f_a(u_m) = \delta(m \equiv a) u_{m+1}, \quad l_a(u_m) = q^{\delta(m \equiv a)} u_m.$$

Here again we write $a \equiv b$ for $a - b \in p\mathbb{Z}$. The $\mathbf{U}$-module $\mathbf{V}$ has a $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}^a$-graduation such that $\mathrm{wt}^a(u_{m-pl}) = \epsilon_m + l\delta$, for all $m = 1, 2, ..., p$.

Lemma.

(1) *In* $\mathbf{V}$ *we have* $h_{1,1}(u_1) = o(1)(-1)^{1-p} q^{-p} u_1 \cdot z$.

(2) *There is a unique isomorphism of* $\mathbf{U}[z^{\pm 1}]$*-modules* $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_1) \to \mathbf{V}$ *such that* $v_{\Lambda_1} \mapsto u_1$.

(3) $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_1) = \{u_m \,|\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proof. For Claim 1, recall that $h_{1,1} = k_1^{-1}[x_{1,1}^+, f_1]$. Then use a computation as in [VV1, Theorem 3.3] (but note that we use a different coproduct and different Drinfeld generators). Claim (2) follows from Claim (1) and from [N, Proposition 3.1]. Claim (3) follows from (3.2.2) and standard properties of canonical bases. $\square$

From now on we identify $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_1)$ with $\mathbf{V}$. For $v = \otimes_i v_i \in \mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ and $\gamma = \sum_i m_i \varepsilon_i \in \mathrm{X}$, put $v \cdot z_\gamma = \otimes_i (v_i \cdot z^{m_i})$. Let $\{u_\gamma \,|\, \gamma \in \mathrm{X}\}$ be the unique $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ such that

$$u_{\gamma - p\nu} = \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^d u_{m_i}\right) \cdot z_\nu, \qquad \gamma, \nu \in \mathrm{X}.$$

Note that, if $\gamma \in \mathrm{X}_p, \nu \in \mathrm{X}$, then $\mathrm{wt}(u_{\gamma - p\nu}) = \tilde{\gamma}$. The set of weights of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ is exactly Ω. We have a $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}^a$-graduation on $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ such that $\mathrm{wt}^a(u_{\gamma - p\nu}) = \tilde{\gamma} + \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \delta$ if $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i \varepsilon_i$.

3.4. Consider the right representation of the $\mathbb{A}$-algebra $\mathbf{H}$ on $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ such that for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots d-1, \gamma \in \mathrm{X}_p$, $\kappa, \nu \in \mathrm{X}$,

$$u_\gamma \diamond t_i = \begin{cases} q u_\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \cdot s_i = \gamma \\ u_{\gamma \cdot s_i} & \text{if } \gamma \cdot s_i < \gamma \\ u_{\gamma \cdot s_i} + (q - q^{-1}) u_\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \cdot s_i > \gamma \end{cases}, \tag{3.4.1}$$

$$u_\nu \diamond x_\kappa = u_{\nu \cdot \tau_\kappa}.$$

This action commutes with the $\mathbf{U}$-action, see [VV2] for instance. Set $\mathbf{T}_d = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{g}} \rho_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{H}$ where $\mathbf{g}$ runs into the set of the compositions of d with at most p parts. It is a left $\mathbf{S}_d$-module such that $t \star h = \delta(\mathbf{f}', \mathbf{g}) m_{\mathbf{g}}^{-1} t h \in \rho_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{H}$ for all $t \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$ and $h \in \rho_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{H}$. Define an involution ι_S on $\mathbf{S}_d$ by setting $\iota_S(a) = q^{2\nu_{\mathbf{f}'}} \overline{a}$, for all $a \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$.

Lemma.

(1) *There is a unique isomorphism of right* $\mathbf{H}$*-modules*

$$\phi : \mathbf{V}^{\otimes d} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}_d, \quad u_\mu \mapsto \rho_{\mathbf{e}}, \qquad \forall\, \mu \in \bar{A}_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p.$$

In particular

$$\left(\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}\right)_{\tilde{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{H}. \tag{3.4.2}$$

(2) *There exists a unique* $\mathbb{A}$*-algebra homomorphism* $\Phi_d : \dot{\mathbf{U}} \to \mathbf{S}_d$ *such that* $\phi(uv) = \Phi_d(u) \star \phi(v)$*, for all* $u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}, v \in \mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$*. We have* $\iota_S(\Phi_d(u)) = \Phi_d(\overline{u})$*.*

(3) $u_\mu \diamond t_w = u_{\mu \cdot w}$ *for all* $\mu \in \bar{A}_+ \cap \mathrm{X}$ *and* $w \in {}^{\mathbf{e}}\mathrm{W}$*.*

Proof. For Claims (1) and (2) use [VV2, Lemmas 8.3 and 8.4]. Note that the coproduct in *loc.cit.* is different from our.

Claim (3) is standard, we prove it by induction on the lenght of w. Set $w = s_i$. Since $\mu \in \bar{A}_+$, we have $(\mu : \alpha_i^\vee) \geq 0$, and the equality holds if and only if $s_i \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Then $\mu \cdot s_i < \mu$ and $u_\mu \diamond t_i = u_{\mu \cdot s_i}$. Suppose now that $\ell(w) = k > 1$ and write $w = w' s_i$ with $\ell(w') = k - 1$. Then $w' \in {}^{\mathbf{e}}\mathrm{W}$. Otherwise there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{e}}$ such that $\ell(\sigma w') < \ell(w')$ and then $\ell(w) < \ell(\sigma w) = \ell(\sigma w') \pm 1 \leq \ell(w')$, which is impossible, because $w \in {}^{\mathbf{e}}\mathrm{W}$. So, by induction, $u_\mu \diamond t_w = u_{\mu \cdot w'} \diamond t_i$. Since $w'(\alpha_i) \in R^+$, we have $(\mu \cdot w' : \alpha_i^\vee) = (\mu : w'(\alpha_i^\vee)) \geq 0$. If $(\mu \cdot w' : \alpha_i^\vee) = 0$, then $s_{w'(\alpha_i)} \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Thus $w' = s_{w'(\alpha_i)} w$ is an element of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{e}} w$ such that $\ell(w') < \ell(w)$ which is impossible by assumption. $\square$

Note that the map Φ_d defined in the lemma above is a renormalization of the map χ_d in 2.6. It is easy to check that the Claim in section 2.6 still hold for $\Phi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$, the composition of Φ_d with the canonical projection $\mathbf{S}_d \to \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}'}$.

3.5. Taking $d = 1$ in (3.4.1) we get $v_{\Lambda_1} \diamond x_1 = v_{\Lambda_1} \cdot z$, because $v_{\Lambda_1} = u_1$. So x_1 acts as Kashiwara's operator z on $\mathbf{V}$. The module $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)$ is identified with the subspace of $\mathbf{V}$ spanned by $u_1, ..., u_p$. Then, formulas (3.4.1) endow $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)$ with a right $\mathbf{H}^f$-action, such that, if $p > d$, $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}^f} \mathbb{A}^-$ is a simple module of the quantum enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$ with highest weight Λ_d. Set

$$\mathbf{V}^{[d]} = \mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d}\{(-q)^{1-d}z_1, (-q)^{3-d}, \cdots, (-q)^{d-1}z_d\}.$$

Take $\mathbf{c} = (d)$, then $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbb{A}[\psi(x_1)]$. We can endow $\mathbf{V}^{[d]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'} \mathbb{A}^-$ with a (right)-$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-action by setting

$$(v \otimes 1) \cdot \psi(x_1) = (v \diamond x_1) \otimes 1,$$

for all $v \in \mathbf{V}^{[d]}$. It is well defined by (2.1.1), (2.5.1).

Proposition. *Assume that* $p > d$ *and let* $\tilde{\lambda} = \Lambda_d$. *Then* $\mathbf{c} = (d)$, $\rho_{\mathbf{d}} = 1$. *Set* $x = \psi((-q)^{1-d}x_1)$.

(1) *There is a unique isomorphism of* $\mathbf{U}$*-modules*

$$\text{(3.5.1)} \quad \mathbf{V}(\Lambda_d) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{V}^{[d]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'} \mathbb{A}^- \quad s.t. \quad v_{\Lambda_d} \cdot z^i \mapsto (u_d \otimes \cdots u_2 \otimes u_1 \otimes 1) \cdot x^i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) *The set of the weights of* $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_d)$ *is* Ω_{sm}. *Fix* $\tilde{\mu} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{sm}}$. *The map (3.5.1) takes* $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_d)_{\tilde{\mu}}$ *to* $\{(u_\mu \otimes 1) \cdot x^i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proof. Claim (1). There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ such that $(\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}^f} \mathbb{A}^-)\{\alpha z\} \simeq \mathbf{V}(\Lambda_d)$, because $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}^f} \mathbb{A}^-$ and $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_d)$ are both integral $\mathbb{A}$-forms of simple $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{K}}$-modules which are simple and of highest weight Λ_d as modules for the quantum enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_p$. By (2.1.1) we have $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}} = \mathbb{A}[x^{\pm 1}]$. Consider the $\mathbf{U}$-module $\mathbf{W}(\Lambda_1)^{\otimes d}\{\alpha z_1, q^2\alpha z_2, ... q^{2(d-1)}\alpha z_d\} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'} \mathbb{A}^-$. The element $f_p \in \mathbf{U}$ acts as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} k_p^{i-1} \otimes \big(f_p \diamond x_i \alpha q^{2(i-1)}\big) \otimes 1^{d-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} k_p^{i-1} \otimes \big(f_p \diamond \alpha x_1\big) \otimes 1^{d-i},$$

by formula (2.5.1). A similar formula holds for e_p. We need to compute α. Set $A = o(d)(-1)^{1-p}q^{-p}$. Using a computation as in [VV1, Theorem 3.3] we get

$$h_{d,1}(u_d \otimes \cdots \otimes u_1 \otimes 1) = (-q)^{d-1} A u_d \otimes \cdots \otimes u_1 \diamond x_1 \otimes 1.$$

Then use [N, Proposition 3.1] to get $\alpha = (-q)^{1-d}$.

Claim (2). If $\mu \in (\bar{A}_+ \setminus A_+) \cap \mathrm{X}_p$, then the element $u_\mu \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{V}^{[d]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'} \mathbb{A}^-$ is zero since $q u_\mu \otimes 1 = u_\mu \diamond t_i \otimes 1 = u_\mu \otimes (t_i \cdot 1) = -q^{-1} u_\mu \otimes 1$, for any $i \in \{1, 2, ... d-1\}$ such that $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$. Thus Ω_{sm} is the set of weights of $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_d)$. Since f_a^2 acts trivially on $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_d)$, Kashiwara's operator $\tilde{f}_a$ coincide with f_a, for all a. Hence $\mathbf{B}(\Lambda_d) + qL(\Lambda_d) \ni f_{a_1} \cdots f_{a_r}(v_{\Lambda_d}) = u_\mu$ or 0 with $\mu \in A_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p$, for any $a_1, ..., a_r \neq p$. We are done by (3.2.2). □

3.6. Set

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{V}(\Lambda_{c_i}) \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{W}(\Lambda_{c_i})\{z_i\}, \qquad v_{\mathbf{c}} = \otimes_{i=1}^{\ell} v_{\Lambda_{c_i}} \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

It is convenient to identify the ring $\mathbb{A}[z_1,...,z_\ell]$ with the quotient of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{A}[z_1,...,z_d]$ by the ideal generated by the relations $z_{\alpha_i}=1$, for all $\alpha_i \in I_{\mathbf{c}}$. Let $z_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}$ be the image of z_γ in this quotient. Using Proposition 3.5 we get a morphism of $\mathbf{U}$-modules

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]} \to \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}}, \quad \text{such that} \quad \otimes_{b=p}^{1}\left(\otimes_{a=b}^{1} u_a\right)^{\otimes \ell_b} \mapsto v_{\mathbf{c}}. \tag{3.6.1}$$

Define a right action of the $\mathbb{A}$-algebra $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$ on $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-$ by setting

$$(v\otimes 1)\cdot\psi(x_\gamma) = (v \diamond x_\gamma)\otimes 1,$$

for all $v \in \bigotimes \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]}, \gamma \in \mathrm{X}$. It is well defined by (2.1.1), (2.5.1). Via (3.4.2), we get also, for all $\mathbf{e}$, a right action of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$ on $\rho_{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-$.

Corollary. *Assume that $p > d$.*

(1) *The map (3.6.1) induces an isomorphism of $\mathbf{U}$-modules*

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-, \tag{3.6.2}$$

such that $v_{\mathbf{c}} \cdot z_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}} \mapsto (\otimes_{b=p}^{1}\big(\otimes_{a=b}^{1} u_a\big)^{\otimes \ell_b} \otimes 1)\cdot \psi((-q)^{-(\gamma:\alpha_{\mathbf{c}})} x_\gamma)$.

(2) *By composing (3.6.2) with (3.4.2) we get, for any $\tilde{\mu}$, a unique isomorphism of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-modules*

$$s_{\tilde{\mu}} : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c},\tilde{\mu}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-.$$

such that $s_{\tilde{\mu}}(u\,v) = \Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}}(u) \star s_{\tilde{\nu}}(v)$ if $v \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c},\tilde{\nu}}, u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}$, $uv \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c},\tilde{\mu}}$, and $\mathbf{f}$ is the partition associated to ν as in (1.4.1).

3.7. Let $\mathbf{N}(\tilde{\lambda}) \subset \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the smallest $\mathbf{U}\times\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-submodule containing the element $v_{\mathbf{c}}$. For any composition $\mathbf{f}$ of d, let ${}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$ be the set of elements $w \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$ having a maximal length in $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{f}}w$. The set ${}^{\mathbf{d}}\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$ contains only one element, denoted by $\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}$, see [L5, 13.11(a)].

For any $\mu \in \bar{A}_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p$ consider the morphism of $\mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-modules

$$a_{\tilde{\mu}} : \rho_{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^- \to \big(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-\big)_{\tilde{\mu}}$$

such that $(\rho_{\mathbf{e}} h \otimes 1)\cdot\psi(x_\gamma) \mapsto (u_\mu \diamond \overline{h} \otimes 1)\cdot\psi(x_\gamma)$.

Proposition. *Assume that $p > d$.*

(1) *For any weight $\tilde{\mu}$ we have the following commutative square of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-modules*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}\bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}} \otimes 1 \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & \rho_{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^- \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{N}(\tilde{\lambda})_{\tilde{\mu}} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c},\tilde{\mu}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical maps are $a_{\tilde{\mu}}$ and its restriction. If $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\lambda}$ then

$$a_{\tilde{\lambda}}(q^{\nu_{\mathbf{d}}}\rho_{\mathbf{d}}\bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \otimes 1) = v_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

(2) *If $\tilde{\mu} \in \Omega_{\mathrm{sm}}$, the left vertical map is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Claim (1). Take $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\lambda}$, then $\bigotimes_{a=p}^{1} u_a^{\otimes d_a} = u_\lambda$. Set $\sigma_{\mathbf{c}} = w_{\mathbf{d}}\sigma$, where $\sigma \in {}^{\mathbf{d}}\mathrm{W}$. Then $t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} = t_{w_{\mathbf{d}}} t_\sigma$. Using (3.4.1) and Lemma 3.4(3) we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{\tilde{\lambda}}(q^{\nu_{\mathbf{d}}}\rho_{\mathbf{d}}\overline{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \otimes 1) & = & u_\lambda \diamond (q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}} t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}) \otimes 1) \\ & = & u_\lambda \diamond t_\sigma \otimes 1 \\ & = & \otimes_{b=p}^{1} \big(\otimes_{a=b}^{1} u_a\big)^{\otimes \ell_b} \otimes 1. \end{array}$$

Moreover

$$\mathbf{N}(\tilde{\lambda})_{\tilde{\mu}} = \big((\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}) v_{\tilde{\lambda}}\big)_{\tilde{\mu}} \xrightarrow{s_{\tilde{\mu}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}(\dot{\mathbf{U}}) \star s_{\tilde{\lambda}}(v_{\mathbf{c}})\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

If $\tilde{\mu}$ is small, we have $\Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}(\dot{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{H}\rho_{\mathbf{d}}$, by Claim 2.6 and the equality $\rho_{\mathbf{e}} = 1$. Claim (2) easily follows. ☐

4. PERIODIC MODULES

4.1. Set $V' := Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, $F_{\alpha^\vee,n} = \{\gamma \in V' \,|\, (\alpha^\vee : \gamma) = np\}$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The connected components of the open set $V' \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R^+, n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{\alpha^\vee,n}$ are called alcoves. The connected components of the open set $V' \setminus \bigcup_{i<d, n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{\alpha_i^\vee,n}$ are called boxes. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the set of alcoves. Consider the restriction to V' of the right action of $\mathrm{W}' \subset \mathrm{W}$ on V. It induces a right W'-action on $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $A \mapsto A \cdot w$, which is simply transitive. Let A'_+ be the unique alcove contained in the dominant Weyl chamber having 0 in its closure. Thus, $A'_+ = A_+ \cap V'$. We define a left W'-action on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$w \cdot (A'_+ \cdot v) = A'_+ \cdot wv, \qquad \forall v, w \in \mathrm{W}'.$$

We denote by $\leq$ the partial order on $\mathcal{A}$ defined in [L1, 1.5].

4.2. We now consider the parabolic case. Fix $\mathbf{c}$ as in Convention 1.4. The connected components of the open set $V' \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R^+ \cap I_{\mathbf{c}}, n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{\alpha^\vee,n}$ are called $I_{\mathbf{c}}$-alcoves. Let $S_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the unique $I_{\mathbf{c}}$-alcove containing A'_+. Set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ equal to the set of alcoves contained in $S_{\mathbf{c}}$.

Let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the free $\mathbb{A}$-module on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$. There is a unique left action of $\mathbf{H}'$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ such that

$$t_i \cdot A = \begin{cases} -q^{-1}A & \text{if } s_i \cdot A \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \\ s_i \cdot A & \text{if } s_i \cdot A > A,\ s_i \cdot A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}} \\ s_i \cdot A + (q - q^{-1})A & \text{if } s_i \cdot A < A,\ s_i \cdot A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}, \end{cases}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$, $i = 1, 2, ...d$, see [L5, 9.3.(b)].

By [L5, Lemma 9.6(b)] we have $A'_+ \cdot w = \overline{t}_w \cdot A'_+$, for all $w \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$. For any $\gamma \in Q$ there is a unique element $w_\gamma \in \mathrm{W}'_{\mathbf{c}}$ such that $S_{\mathbf{c}} - p\gamma = S_{\mathbf{c}} \cdot w_\gamma$, because $\mathrm{W}'_{\mathbf{c}}$ acts simply transitively on the set of $I_{\mathbf{c}}$-alcoves. Thus the map $A \mapsto (A - p\gamma) \cdot w_\gamma^{-1}$ permutes the alcoves in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Let $g_\gamma : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}} \to \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be corresponding $\mathbb{A}$-linear map. Then

$$g_\gamma(A'_+) = (-q)^{-(\gamma:\alpha_{\mathbf{c}})} x_\gamma \cdot A'_+, \quad g_\gamma(h'A'_+) = h' g_\gamma(A'_+), \qquad \forall h' \in \mathbf{H}',$$

see [L5, 9.2 and Lemma 9.5]. Consider the unique right $\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-action on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ such that

$$A \cdot x_{\gamma + \mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}} = (-1)^{(\gamma:\alpha_{\mathbf{c}})} g_\gamma(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}'_{\mathbf{c}}, \forall \gamma \in Q$$

see [L5, 9.7].

4.3. The element

$$m_{\mathbf{c}}=q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}}\textstyle\sum_{w\in\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{d}}}q^{\ell(w)}A'_+\cdot w\sigma_{\mathbf{c}},$$

belongs to $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ because $\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{d}}\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}\subset\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$, see [L5, Lemma 13.9.($b$)]. Let $\mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{c}}\subset\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the $\mathbf{H}'\otimes\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-submodule generated by $m_{\mathbf{c}}$. Since, for all $w\in\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\ell(\sigma_{\mathbf{c}})=\ell(w\sigma_{\mathbf{c}})+\ell(w)$ we get

$$m_{\mathbf{c}}=q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}}\sum_{w\in\mathrm{W}_{\mathbf{d}}}q^{\ell(w)}\bar t_{w\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}A'_+=q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}}\rho_{\mathbf{d}}\bar t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}\cdot A'_+.$$

The right $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-action on $\mathbf{H}\otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbb{A}^-$ defined in 3.6 restricts to a right $\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-action on $\mathbf{H}'\otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbb{A}^-$.

Lemma.

1. $\{g_\gamma(A'_+\cdot w)\,|\,\gamma\in Q,w\in\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}\}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$.
2. *The linear map*

   $$b:\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}\to\mathbf{H}'\otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbb{A}^-\qquad(A'_+\cdot w)\cdot x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}\mapsto(\bar t_w\otimes 1)\cdot\psi(q^{-(\gamma:\alpha_{\mathbf{c}})}x_\gamma),$$

   where $w\in\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}},\gamma\in Q$, *is a morphism of* $\mathbf{H}'\times\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$*-modules such that* $b(m_{\mathbf{c}})=q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}}\rho_{\mathbf{d}}\bar t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}\otimes 1$.
3. *The map* b *yields a commutative square of* $\mathbf{H}'\otimes\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$*-modules*

   $$\begin{tikzcd}\mathbf{H}'\rho_{\mathbf{d}}\bar t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}\otimes 1 \arrow[r, hook] & \mathbf{H}'\otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbb{A}^- \\ \mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{c}} \arrow[u] \arrow[r, hook] & \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}} \arrow[u]\end{tikzcd}$$

   with invertible vertical maps.

Proof. Claim (1) follows from [L3, 2.12(f), 4.9(c)] and the identity $w_d\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}w_{\mathbf{c}}=\mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$. As for Claims (2) and (3), use [L5, 9.7(b) and Proposition 8.5, Lemma 10.2]. ☐

5. Comparing canonical bases

5.1. Let $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$ be the set of formal $\mathbb{A}$-linear combinations $m=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}}^\infty m_A\,A$ such that the set $\{A\,|\,m_A\neq 0\}$ is bounded above under $\leq$, i.e. there exists $B\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$ such that $A<B$ for all A such that $m_A\neq 0$. The $\mathbf{H}'\times\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-action on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$ extends in an obvious way to $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$.

There is a unique continuous (in the sense of [L3, 4.13]) involution $\iota_M:\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}\to\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$ such that, for all $m\in\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq},h\in\mathbf{H}',x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}\in\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$,

$$(5.1.1)\quad \iota_M(m_{\mathbf{c}})=m_{\mathbf{c}},\quad \iota_M(hm)=\overline{h}\,\iota_M(m),\quad \iota_M(m\cdot x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}})=\iota_M(m)\cdot x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}},$$

see [L5, Lemmas 9.16.(a) and 13.12].

For all $A\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$ there is a unique element $A_\leq=\sum_{B\preceq A}^\infty\tilde\pi_{BA}B\in\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$ such that

$$\iota_M(A_\leq)=A_\leq,\qquad \tilde\pi_{AA}=1\text{ and }\tilde\pi_{BA}\in q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[q^{-1}]\text{ for all }B<A,$$

see [L5,9.17(a),(b)]. We have $m_{\mathbf{c}}=(A'_+\cdot w_{\mathbf{d}}\sigma_{\mathbf{c}})_\leq$ by [L5, Theorem 13.13(a)]. Set $\mathcal{B}_M=\{A_\leq\,|\,A\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}\}$.

Conjecture [L5, 12.7]**.** $\mathcal{B}_M\subset\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$.

For a future use let us recall the following standard fact.

Lemma. *Let* $A^\sharp = A + \sum_{A' \neq A} m_{A'} A' \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}}$, *with* $m_{A'} \in q^{-1}\mathbb{Q}[q^{-1}]$. *If* $\iota_M(A^\sharp) = A^\sharp$, *then* $A^\sharp \in \mathcal{B}_M$.

Proof. For all $B \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$ we have $B = B_{\leq} + \sum_{B'<B}^{\infty} c_{B'} B'_{\leq}$ in $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$, with $c_{B'} \in q^{-1}\mathbb{Q}[q^{-1}]$. Thus $A^\sharp = \sum_B^\infty n_B B_{\leq}$, with $n_B \in q^{-1}\mathbb{Q}[q^{-1}]$ for all $B \neq A$, and $n_A \in 1 + q^{-1}\mathbb{Q}[q^{-1}]$. Since $\iota_M(A^\sharp) = A^\sharp$, we get $\overline{n}_B = n_B$ for all B. Thus $A^\sharp = A_{\leq}$. □

5.2. Following [K2, Theorem 8.5, Proposition 8.6], the $\mathbb{A}$-module $\mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)$ is endowed with a canonical basis. More precisely, define

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{c},\leq} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[z_{\alpha_i+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}|\alpha_i\in I]} \mathbb{Q}[[z_{\alpha_i+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}|\alpha_i \in I]],$$

$$L_{\mathbf{c},\leq} = \Big(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} L(\Lambda_{c_i})\Big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[z_{\alpha_i+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}|\alpha_i\in I]} \mathbb{Q}[[z_{\alpha_i+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}|\alpha_i \in I]],$$

There is a unique involution $\iota_N : \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{c},\leq} \to \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$ such that, for all $v \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}, u \in \mathbf{U}, f \in \mathbb{Q}[[z_{\alpha_i+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}|\alpha_i \in I]][z_\gamma|\gamma \in \mathrm{X}]$,

$$(5.2.1) \qquad \iota_N(v_{\mathbf{c}}) = v_{\mathbf{c}}, \quad \iota_N(uv) = \overline{u}\,\iota_N(v), \quad \iota_N(v \cdot f) = \iota_N(v) \cdot f.$$

For any $t \in \bigotimes_i \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$ there is a unique element $F(t) \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$ such that

$$\iota_N(F(t)) = F(t), \qquad F(t) = t \quad mod\, qL_{\mathbf{c},\leq}.$$

The $\mathbb{A}$-module $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda_{c_i})$ has a $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}^a$-graduation induced by the $\tilde{\mathrm{X}}^a$-graduation of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$, using Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathrm{wt}^a(v) \in \tilde{\mathrm{X}}^a$ be the degree of the element $v \in \mathbf{V}(\Lambda_{c_i})$. There is a unique partial order, $\leq_{\mathbf{c}}$, on $\bigotimes_i \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$ such that $t' = t'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes t'_\ell <_{\mathbf{c}} t = t_1 \otimes \cdots t_\ell$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{wt}^a(t'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{wt}^a(t_i), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathrm{wt}^a(t'_i) - \mathrm{wt}^a(t_i)) \in \tilde{Q}^a_+ \setminus \{0\}, \quad \forall m = 1, ..., \ell - 1,$$

where $\tilde{Q}^a_+ = \sum_{a=1}^{p} \mathbb{N}\beta_a$. Then

$$(5.2.2) \qquad F(t) - t \in \textstyle\sum_{t'<_{\mathbf{c}}t} q\mathbb{Q}[q]t'.$$

The $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}}$-submodule $\mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)$ of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{c},\leq}$ is stable by ι_N. Furthermore $F(t) \in \mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)$. The family $\mathcal{B}_N = \{F(t)\,|\,t \in \bigotimes_i \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)$.

5.3. The basis $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$ of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{c}}$ is identified with its image in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-$ by (3.6.2).

Claim 1. $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i}) = \{(u_{\mu\cdot w} \otimes 1) \cdot z_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}\,|\,\mu \in A_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p, w \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}, \gamma \in \mathrm{X}\}$.

Proof. Given $\mu \in A_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p$, $w \in \mathrm{W}^f$, write $\mu \cdot w = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j \varepsilon_j$. Then $w \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$ if and only if $p > a_k - a_l > 0$ for all $c_1 + \cdots + c_i \geq k > l \geq c_1 + \cdots c_{i-1} + 1$. The claim follows from Proposition 3.5(2). □

Assume that $p > d$, then $\Omega_{\mathrm{sm}} \neq \emptyset$. Take $\tilde\mu \in \Omega_{\mathrm{sm}}$. The map $d_{\tilde\mu} = a_{\tilde\mu} \circ b$ gives an injective morphism of $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-modules

$$d_{\tilde\mu} : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{c}} \to \bigotimes_{i=\ell}^{1} \mathbf{V}^{[c_i]} \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-,$$

$$(A'_+ \cdot w) \cdot x_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}} \mapsto (-1)^{(\gamma:\alpha_{\mathbf{c}})}(u_\mu \diamond t_w \otimes 1) \cdot z_{\gamma+\mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}},$$

for all $w \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}, \gamma \in Q$. Moreover $d_{\tilde\mu}$ maps $\mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{c}}$ into $\mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}$ by Lemma 4.3(3) and Proposition 3.7(1).

Claim 2. $d_{\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}) \subset \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3(1) any alcove in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$ is of the type $A = g_\gamma(A'_+ \cdot w)$ for some $\gamma \in Q$, $w \in \mathrm{W}^{\mathbf{c}}$. Thus $d_{\tilde\mu}(A) = (u_\mu \diamond t_w \otimes 1) \cdot z_{\gamma + \mathbb{Z}I_{\mathbf{c}}}$ and Claim 2 follows from Claim 1 and Lemma 3.4(3) (remember that $\mu \in A_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p$). $\square$

5.4. Set $\mathrm{X}' = (\bar{A}_+ \cap \mathrm{X}_p) \cdot \mathrm{W}'$.

Lemma. *There exists a family $\mathcal{B}'_N \subset \mathcal{B}_N$ such that the $\mathbb{A}$-span of $\mathcal{B}'_N$ is equal to the intersection of $\mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)$ with the $\mathbb{A}$-span of $\{u_\gamma \otimes 1 \,|\, \gamma \in \mathrm{X}'\}$.*

Proof. First of all, $\mathrm{wt}^a(u_{\gamma_1}) = \mathrm{wt}^a(u_{\gamma_2})$ iff $\gamma_1 \in \gamma_2 \cdot \mathrm{W}'$, for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{X}$. Namely, write $\gamma_i = \nu_i - p\mu_i$ with $\nu_i \in \mathrm{X}_p, \mu_i \in \mathrm{X}$. Then $\mathrm{wt}^a(u_{\gamma_1}) = \mathrm{wt}^a(u_{\gamma_2})$ iff $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \in Q$ and $\tilde\nu_1 = \tilde\nu_2$ i.e. $\nu_1 = \nu_2 \cdot w$ for some $w \in \mathrm{W}^f$, hence iff $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \cdot w\tau_{\mu_1 - \mu_2 \cdot w}$ and $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \cdot w \in Q$.

Given $\nu, \gamma \in \mathrm{X}$ such that $u_\nu \otimes 1, u_\gamma \otimes 1 \in q^{\mathbb{Z}} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$, we write $u_\nu \otimes 1 <_{\mathbf{c}} u_\gamma \otimes 1$ if the corresponding elements in $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$ satisfy the same relation. Then $u_\nu \otimes 1 <_{\mathbf{c}} u_\gamma \otimes 1$ implies $\mathrm{wt}^a(u_\nu) = \mathrm{wt}^a(u_\gamma)$, hence $\nu \in \gamma \cdot \mathrm{W}'$. For any $\gamma \in \mathrm{X}$, set $F(u_\gamma \otimes 1) = F(q^n u_\gamma \otimes 1)$ if $q^n u_\gamma \otimes 1 \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$. We have $F(u_\gamma \otimes 1) \in \bigoplus_{w \in \mathrm{W}'} \mathbb{A} u_{\gamma \cdot w} \otimes 1$ by (5.2.2). Thus, for all γ,

$$\bigoplus_{w \in \mathrm{W}'} \mathbb{A} u_{\gamma \cdot w} \otimes 1 = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathrm{W}'} \mathbb{A} F(u_{\gamma \cdot w} \otimes 1).$$

Since $\mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)$ is spanned by the elements $F(t)$ with $t \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbf{B}(\Lambda_{c_i})$ we are done because $\mathrm{X}' \cdot \mathrm{W}' \subseteq \mathrm{X}'$. $\square$

Fix $p > d$ and $\tilde\mu \in \Omega_{\mathrm{sm}}$. Set $\mathcal{B}'_{N,\tilde\mu} = \mathcal{B}'_N \cap \mathbf{N}(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}$, and let $\mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}$ be the $\mathbb{A}$-span of $\mathcal{B}'_{N,\tilde\mu}$. The $\mathbb{A}$-span of $\{u_\mu \,|\, \mu \in \mathrm{X}'\}$ in $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes d}$ is identified to $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{g}} \rho_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{H}'$, see (3.4.1) and Lemma 3.4(1). We have $a_{\tilde\mu}^{-1}(\mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}) = \mathbf{H}' \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}} \otimes 1$ since $a_{\tilde\mu}^{-1}(\mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}) = (\rho_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{H}' \otimes_{\mathbf{H}'_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbb{A}^-) \cap (\mathbf{H} \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{c}} \otimes 1)$. Hence $\mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}$ is a right $\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-module by the lemma above and (3.4.1), and a left $\mathbf{H}'$-module. We have $d_{\tilde\mu}(\mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{c}}) = a_{\tilde\mu}(\mathbf{H}' \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}} \otimes 1) = \mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}$. Let $c_{\tilde\mu}$ be the inverse map $c_{\tilde\mu} : \mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu} \to \mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{c}}$. It is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{H}' \otimes \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-modules.

5.5. We can now prove Conjecture 5.1.

Theorem. *$\mathcal{B}_M$ is a $\mathbb{A}$-basis of $\mathbf{M}'_{\mathbf{c}}$ for all $p > d$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde\mu \in \Omega_{\mathrm{sm}}$. Set $h = q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}} \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}}$. We consider h as an element of $\rho_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{T}_d$. Define $v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu} = a_{\tilde\mu}(h \otimes 1)$. Then

$$v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu} = u_\mu \diamond \overline{h} \otimes 1 = u_\mu \diamond q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}} \rho_{\mathbf{d}} t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \otimes 1 = d_{\tilde\mu}(m_{\mathbf{c}}) \in \mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}.$$

The element $v_{\mathbf{c}} = a_{\tilde\lambda}(q^{\nu_{\mathbf{d}}} \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \otimes 1) = u_\lambda \diamond q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}} t_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \otimes 1 \in \mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\lambda}$ is fixed by ι_N. Hence $\iota_N(v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu}) = v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu}$, because $v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu} = \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \star v_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\iota_S(\rho_{\mathbf{d}}) = \rho_{\mathbf{d}}$.

For any $v \in \mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}$ there exists $u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$ such that $v = u v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu} \cdot r$, since $\Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}(\dot{\mathbf{U}}) \star (q^{-\nu_{\mathbf{d}}} \rho_{\mathbf{d}} \bar{t}_{\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}} \otimes 1) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(\dot{\mathbf{U}}) \star (h \otimes 1)$, by Claim 2.6. We want to prove that $c_{\tilde\mu}$ is compatible with the involutions ι_N, ι_M. By (5.1.1), (5.2.1) and the $\mathbf{R}'_{\mathbf{c}}$-linearity of $c_{\tilde\mu}$, we can forget r. We have $c_{\tilde\mu}(u v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu}) = \overline{\Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(u)} \cdot m_{\mathbf{c}}$. Namely, set $t = \Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(u)$. Then $a_{\tilde\mu}(u v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu}) = a_{\tilde\mu}(t \star (u_\mu \diamond \overline{h} \otimes 1)) = a_{\tilde\mu}(u_\mu \diamond t\overline{h} \otimes 1) = \bar{t} h = b(\bar{t} \cdot m_{\mathbf{c}})$.

Using 5.1.1, 5.2.1, and Lemma 3.4(2) we get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_{\tilde\mu}(\iota_N(uv_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu})) & = & c_{\tilde\mu}(\overline{u}v_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu}) \\ & = & \overline{\Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(\overline{u})}\cdot m_{\mathbf{c}} \\ & = & \overline{\iota_S(\Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(u))}\cdot m_{\mathbf{c}} \\ & = & \Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(u)\cdot m_{\mathbf{c}} \\ & = & \iota_M(\overline{\Phi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{e}}(u)\cdot m_{\mathbf{c}}}) \\ & = & \iota_M(c_{\tilde\mu}(uv_{\mathbf{c},\tilde\mu})). \end{array}$$

Hence any element in $c_{\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{B}'_{N,\tilde\mu})$ is fixed by ι_M. Claim 2 in 5.3 gives

$$c_{\tilde\mu}(\mathbf{N}'(\tilde\lambda)_{\tilde\mu}\cap L_{\mathbf{c},\leq})\subseteq\sum_{A\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}}}\mathbb{Q}[q^{-1}]A.$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.1, $c_{\tilde\mu}(\mathcal{B}'_{N,\tilde\mu})\subseteq\mathcal{B}_M$. We are done since $c_{\tilde\mu}$ is invertible . □

Remarks.

1. As a corollary of Theorem 5.5 and [L5, 15.13], the set $\mathbf{B}^{\pm}_{\mathcal{B}_e}$ in [L5, 5.11] is a signed basis of the K-theory of the Springer fiber.
2. Even in the A_2 case the two orders are not comparable via $d_{\tilde\mu}$. Fix $d=3, p>3, \mathbf{c}=(1^3)$, and $\mu=3\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3$. Then $d_{\tilde\mu}(A'_+)=u_\mu, d_{\tilde\mu}(A'_+\cdot w)=u_{\mu\cdot w}$, for any $w\in \mathrm{W}^f$.

   - If $w=s_2$, we have that $A'_+\cdot w<A'_+$, but $u_\mu\not<_{\mathbf{c}}u_{\mu\cdot w}$.

   - If $w=s_{\theta^\vee}\tau_{-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2}$, we have $u_\mu<_{\mathbf{c}}u_{\mu\cdot w}$ but $A'_+\not< A'_+\cdot w$, since $A'_+\cdot w$ is not in the Weyl chamber.

References

[B] Beck, J., *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 555-568.

[K1] Kashiwara, M., *Crystal bases of the modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), 383-413.

[K2] Kashiwara, M., *On level zero representations of quantized affine algebras.*, Duke Math. J. **112** (2002), 117-175.

[L1] Lusztig, G., *Hecke algebras and Jantzen's generic decomposition patterns*, Adv. in Math. **37** (1980), 121-164.

[L2] Lusztig, G., *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1994.

[L3] Lusztig, G., *Periodic W-graphs*, Represent. Theory **1** (1997), 207-279.

[L4] Lusztig, G., *Bases in equivariant K-theory*, Represent. Theory **2** (1998), 298-369.

[L5] Lusztig, G., *Bases in equivariant K-theory, II*, Represent. Theory **3** (1999), 281-353.

[L6] Lusztig, G., *Aperiodicity in quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147-177.

[N] Nakajima, H., *Extremal weight modules of quantum affine algebras*, math.QA/0204183.

[SV] Schiffmann, O., Vasserot, E., *Geometric construction of the global base of quantum modified algebra of* $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$, Thansform. Groups **5** (2000), 351-360.

[VV1] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E., *Schur duality in the toroidal setting*, Commun. Math. Phys. **182** (1996), 469-484.

[VV2] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E., *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267-297.

[VV3] Varagnolo, M., Vasserot, E., *Canonical bases and quiver varieties*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 227-258.

Département de Mathématique, Université de Cergy-Pontoise, 2 av. A. Chauvin, BP 222, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise cedex, France

E-mail address: `michela.varagnolo@math.u-cergy.fr`